arXiv:1609.08709v1 [math.AG] 27 Sep 2016

INVARIANCE OF CERTAIN PLURIGENERA FOR SURFACES IN MIXED CHARACTERISTICS

ANDREW EGBERT AND CHRISTOPHER D. HACON

Abstract. We prove the deformation invariance of Kodaira dimension and of certain plurigenera for log surfaces which are smooth over a DVR.

1. Introduction

If $f : X \to T$ is a smooth projective morphism of complex quasi-projective varieties, then by a celebrated theorem of Siu ([Siu98], [Siu02]), it is known that the plurigenera of the fibers $P_m(X_t) := h^0(mK_{X_t})$ are independent of the point $t \in T$. This result (and its generalizations to log pairs) is a fundamental fact of great importance in higher dimensional birational geometry. It plays a fundamental role in the construction of moduli spaces of varieties of log general type. Unluckily, this result does not generalize even to families of surfaces over a curve (or a discrete valuation ring DVR). In [La83], it is shown that P_1 is not deformation invariant for Enriques surfaces in characteristic 2. In [KU85], it is shown that in fact the deformation invariance of plurigenera does not hold for certain elliptic surfaces and in [Suh08], there are examples of smooth families of surfaces of general type over any DVR for which P_1 is non constant (and in fact its value can jump by an arbitrarily big amount). On the positive side, in [KU85] it is shown that if $X \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is a smooth family of surfaces over a DVR in positive or mixed characteristic, then one can run the minimal model program for X (over R). As a consequence of this, it is observed that $\kappa(X_K) = \kappa(X_k)$ where k is the residue field and K is the fraction field of R. It should be noted that the minimal model program is established for semistable families of surfaces in positive or mixed characteristic (see [Kaw94]), for log canonical surfaces over excellent base schemes (see [Tan16]) and for 3 folds over a field k of characteristic $p \geq 7$ (see [HX13] and [Bir15]). In this paper (Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.5), we

The second author was supported by NSF research grants no: DMS-1300750, DMS-1265285 and by a grant from the Simons Foundation; Award Number: 256202.

generalize the result of Katsura and Ueno to log surfaces (smooth over a DVR) and we show the deformation invariance of certain plurigenera.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (X, B) be a klt pair which is log smooth, projective of dimension 2 over a DVR R with perfect residue field k of characteristic $p > 0$, then $\kappa(K_{X_k} + B_k) = \kappa(K_{X_K} + B_K)$ and we may run the minimal model program with scaling of an ample divisor H. Moreover, if either $\kappa(K_{X_k} + B_k) \neq 1$ or $\kappa(K_{X_k} + B_k) = 1$ and B_k is big over $\mathrm{Proj}R(K_{X_k} + B_k)$, then there exists an integer $m_0 > 0$ such that for any positive integer $m \in m_0\mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$h^0(m(K_{X_K} + B_K)) = h^0(m(K_{X_k} + B_k)).$$

The strategy is to reduce the proof of the above theorem to the case when (X_k, B_k) is terminal and $\mathbf{B}(K_{X_k} + B_k)$ contains no components of the support of B_k. In this case we observe that the steps of a $K_{X_k} + B_k$ mmp are also steps of a K_{X_k} mmp and we are thus able to deduce the result from [KU85].

Remark 1.2. *Many results and techniques in this paper were developed in the first author's Ph.D.'s thesis* [Egb16].

2. Preliminaries

Let X be a normal projective variety, $\mathrm{WDiv}(X)$ the group of Weil divisors. If $B = \sum b_i B_i \in \mathrm{WDiv}_{\mathbb{Q}}(X)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X, then $\lfloor B \rfloor = \sum \lfloor b_i \rfloor B_i$ where $\lfloor b_i \rfloor = \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z} | n \leq b_i\}$. We denote $\{B\} = B - \lfloor B \rfloor$ and $|B| = |\lfloor B \rfloor| + \{B\}$ where

$$|\lfloor B \rfloor| = \{D \in \mathrm{WDiv}(X) | D \geq 0,\ D - \lfloor B \rfloor = (f),\ f \in K(X)\}.$$

The **stable base locus** of B is $\mathbf{B}(D) = \cap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathrm{Bs}(mD)$. If $D_1, \ldots, D_r$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors on a normal projective variety X, then

$$R(D_1, \ldots, D_r) := \bigoplus_{m_1, \ldots, m_r \in \mathbb{N}} H^0(\mathcal{O}_X(\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i D_i)).$$

Let (X, B) be a **pair** so that X is normal, $0 \leq B$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor and $K_X + B$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier. If $\nu : X' \to X$ is a proper birational morphism, then we write $K_{X'} + B_{X'} = \nu^*(K_X + B)$. We say that (X, B) is **Kawamata log terminal or klt** (res. **terminal**) if for any log resolution $\lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor \leq 0$ (resp. $\lfloor B_{X'} \rfloor \leq E$ where E denotes the reduced exceptional divisor). We let $\mathbf{M}_B$ be the b-divisor defined by the sum of the strict transform of B and the exceptional divisors (over X). We refer the reader to [KM98] and [BCHM10] for the standard definitions of the

minimal model program including extremal rays, flipping and divisorial contractions, running a minimal model program with scaling, log terminal and weak log canonical models.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X, B) a 2-dimensional projective klt pair over an algebraically closed field k. Then*

$$\overline{NE}(X) = \overline{NE}(X)_{K_X+B\geq 0} + \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} C_i$$

where I is countable, $(K_X+B)\cdot C_i < 0$, C_i is rational and $C_i^2 < 0$. If H is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X, then the set $\{i \in I | (K_X+B+H)\cdot C_i \leq 0\}$ is finite.

Proof. See [Tan14, 3.13, 3.15]. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a surface over an algebraically closed field k and (X, B) a projective klt pair. If R is a K_X+B negative extremal ray, then there exists a proper morphism $f : X \to X'$ such that $f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_{X'}$, f contracts a curve $C \subset X$ if and only if $[C] = R$.*

Proof. See [Tan14, 3.21]. □

Theorem 2.3. *Let X be a projective surface over a perfect field k. Assume that (X, B) is klt and H is an ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. Then*

1. *the ring $R(K_X + B, K_X + B + H)$ is finitely generated, and*
2. *if K_X+B is pseudo-effective, then there exists a birational morphism $\nu : X \to X'$ and a constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that ν is a $K_X + B + tH$ minimal model for any $0 \leq t \leq \epsilon$.*

Proof. By [Tan14], the $K_X + B$ mmp with scaling of H terminates. Therefore there is a sequence of rational numbers $t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \ldots \leq t_n$ and morphisms $f_i : X \to X_i$ (induced by successive divisorial contractions) such that

1. if $t_0 > 0$, then $\kappa(K_X + B + tH) < 0$ for any $0 \leq t < t_0$,
2. $R(K_X + B + t_0H, K_X + B + t_iH) \cong R(K_{X_i} + B_i + t_0H_i, K_{X_i} + B_i + t_iH_i)$ where $B_i = f_{i,*}B$ and $H_i = f_{i,*}H$,
3. $K_{X_i} + B_i + t_{i-1}H_i$ and $K_{X_i} + B_i + t_iH_i$ are both nef (and hence semiample).

It then follows easily that

$$R := \oplus_{i=1}^{n} R(K_{X_i} + B_i + t_{i-1}H_i, K_{X_i} + B_i + t_iH_i)$$

is finitely generated (cf. [HX15, 2.7]). Since R surjects on to $R(K_X + B, K_X + B + H)$, this ring is also finitely generated.

Finally, if $K_X + B$ is pseudo-effective, then $t_0 = 0$, $K_{X_1} + B_1 + tH_1$ is nef for $0 \leq t \leq t_1$ and $X \to X_1$ is given by a sequence of $K_X + B + tH$ divisorial contractions for any $0 \leq t < t_1$. □

Proposition 2.4. *Let X be a projective surface over an algebraically closed field k. Assume that (X, B) is a klt pair and $\nu : X' \to X$ is a proper birational morphism such that (X', B') is terminal where $K_{X'} + B' = \nu^*(K_X + B)$. Let $\Theta = B' - B' \wedge N_\sigma(K_{X'} + B')$ and $\phi' : X' \to X'_M$ the minimal model for (X', Θ). If $\phi : X \to X_M$ is the minimal model for (X, B), then the rational map $\mu : X'_M \to X_M$ is a morphism and $K_{X'_M} + \phi'_*\Theta = \mu^*(K_{X_M} + \phi_* B)$. If $\kappa(K_X + B) = 1$ and B is big over $\mathrm{Proj}R(K_X + B)$, then Θ is big over $\mathrm{Proj}R(K_{X'} + \Theta)$.*

Proof. Consider the morphism $\psi : X' \to X_M$. Since $K_X + B = \phi^*(K_{X_M} + \phi_* B) + E$, then $K_{X'} + B' = \psi^*(K_{X_M} + \phi_* B) + \nu^* E$ where $K_{X_M} + \phi_* B$ is nef and $\nu^* E$ is effective and ψ exceptional. It follows that $N_\sigma(K_{X'} + B') = \nu^* E$ and so $K_{X'} + \Theta = \psi^*(K_{X_M} + \phi_* B) + E'$ where $0 \leq E' \leq \nu^* E$. In particular the divisors contracted by ϕ' are precisely the divisors contained in $\mathrm{Supp}(E')$ and so $X' \to X_M$ factors through ϕ'. We have $K_{X'_M} + \phi'_*\Theta = \mu^*(K_{X_M} + \phi_* B) + \phi'_* E'$ where $\mu_*(\phi'_* E') \leq \phi_* E = 0$ and hence $\phi'_* E$ is μ exceptional. By the negativity lemma, it follows that $\phi'_* E' = 0$.

Note that since $H^0(m(K_X + B)) \cong H^0(m(K_{X'} + \Theta))$ for all $m \geq 0$, it follows that $Z := \mathrm{Proj}R(K_X + B) = \mathrm{Proj}R(K_{X'} + \Theta)$. The bigness of B over Z is equivalent to $B \cdot X_z > 0$ for general $z \in Z$. But then

$$\Theta \cdot X'_z = \mu_* \phi'_* \Theta \cdot (X'_M)_z = \phi_* B \cdot (X'_M)_z = B \cdot X_z > 0$$

and so Θ is big over Z. □

In what follows R will denote a DVR with residue field k and fraction field K. If $f : X \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ is a morphism, then we let $X_K = X \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)} \mathrm{Spec}(K)$ be the generic fiber and $X_k = X \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(R)} \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ be the special fiber. We say that a pair (X, B) is log smooth over R if X and each strata of the support of B are smooth over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $f : X \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be a smooth projective morphism from a normal variety to a DVR and L a line bundle on X, then L is ample (resp. nef) if and only if so is $L_k := L|_{X_k}$.*

Proof. Clearly, if L is ample or nef, then so is L_k. It is well known that ampleness is an open condition and so if L_k is ample then so is L. Finally if L_k is nef and H is ample, then $L_k + tH_k$ is ample for any $t > 0$, so that $L + tH$ is ample and hence L is nef. □

Lemma 2.6. *Let (X, B) be a log pair which is log smooth over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ where R is a DVR. If $R \subset \tilde{R}$ is an inclusion of DVR's, then $(X_{\tilde{R}}, B_{\tilde{R}})$ is log smooth over $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R})$. If (X, B) is terminal (resp. klt), then so is $(X_{\tilde{R}}, B_{\tilde{R}})$.*

Proof. Since smoothness is preserved by base change, it follows that $(X_{\tilde{R}}, B_{\tilde{R}})$ is log smooth over $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R})$. The pair (X, B) is klt (resp. terminal) if and only if the coefficients of B are < 1 (resp. the coefficients of B are < 1 and if two components intersect, then the sum of the coefficients is < 1). Since there is a one to one correspondence of components of B with components of B_k, the lemma follows. □

Theorem 2.7. *[Katsura-Ueno* [KU85]*] Let $f : X \to \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be an algebraic space which is proper, separated of finite type and two dimensional over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ where R is a DVR with algebraically closed residue field k and field of fractions K. If X_k contains an exceptional curve of the first kind $e \subset X_k$ then there exists a DVR $\tilde{R} \supset R$ with residue field k and a surjective proper morphism $\pi : X \times \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R}) \to \tilde{Y}$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R})$ where $\tilde{Y} \to \mathrm{Spec}(\tilde{R})$ is proper, separated of finite type and two dimensional, π_k contracts the exeptional curve of the first kind $e \subset X_k$ and $\pi_K : X_{\tilde{K}} \to \tilde{Y}_{\tilde{K}}$ is also a contraction of an exeptional curve of the first kind.*

3. Main result

Theorem 3.1. *Let (X, B) be a klt pair which is log smooth, projective of dimension 2 over a DVR R with perfect residue field k of characteristic $p > 0$ and perfect fraction field K. If $K_X + B$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier then $\kappa(K_{X_k} + B_k) = \kappa(K_{X_K} + B_K)$ and if either $\kappa(K_{X_k} + B_k) \neq 1$ or $\kappa(K_{X_k} + B_k) = 1$ and B_k is big over $\mathrm{Proj}R(K_{X_k} + B_k)$, then there exists an integer m_0 such that for any positive integer $m \in m_0\mathbb{N}$ we have*

$$h^0(m(K_{X_K} + B_K)) = h^0(m(K_{X_k} + B_k)).$$

Proof. Consider an inclusion of DVR's $R \subset \tilde{R}$. If $\tilde{k}$ and $\tilde{K}$ denote the residue field and the fraction field of $\tilde{R}$, then $h^0(m(K_{X_k} + B_k)) = h^0(m(K_{X_{\tilde{k}}} + B_{\tilde{k}}))$ and $h^0(m(K_{X_K} + B_K)) = h^0(m(K_{X_{\tilde{K}}} + B_{\tilde{K}}))$. Thus we are free to replace $X \to R$ by a base extension $\tilde{X} = X \times_R \tilde{R} \to \tilde{R}$. In particular we may assume that k is algebraically closed.

If $h^0(m(K_{X_k} + B_k)) = 0$, then by semicontinuity $h^0(m(K_{X_K} + B_K)) = 0$. Therefore, the theorem holds trivially in the case $\kappa(K_{X_k} + B_k) = -\infty$. Thus we may assume that $\kappa(K_{X_k} + B_k) \geq 0$.

Claim 3.2. *The theorem holds under the additional assumption that (X_k, B_k) is terminal and no component of the support of B_k is contained in $\mathbf{B}(K_{X_k} + B_k)$.*

Proof. Since k is algebraically closed, then by the Cone Theorem (Theorem 2.1)

$$\overline{NE}(X_k) = \overline{NE}(X_k)_{K_{X_k}+B_k\geq 0} + \sum_{i\in I} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} C_i$$

where I is countable, $(K_{X_k} + B_k) \cdot C_i < 0$ and C_i is rational and not contained in the support of B_k. Notice in fact that if C_i is contained in the support of B_k, then since $(K_{X_k} + B_k) \cdot C_i < 0$ we have $C_i \subset \mathbf{B}(K_{X_k} + B_k)$, which we have assumed is impossible. Note then that $C_i \cdot B_k \geq 0$ and so $K_{X_k} \cdot C_i < 0$ and hence if C_i spans a $K_{X_k} + B_k$-negative extremal ray, then it also spans a K_{X_k}-negative extremal ray and so it can be contracted by a divisorial contraction of an exceptional curve of the first kind $X_k \to X'_k$. In particular X'_k is also a smooth surface. Thus we may assume that C_i is an extremal curve of the first kind. By Theorem 2.7 (after extending R), we may assume that there is a morphism $X \to X'$ of smooth surfaces over R such that $X_K \to X'_K$ also contracts an extremal curve of the first kind.

Suppose now that $\nu : X \to \bar{X}$ is a morphism of smooth surfaces over $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ such that $X_K \to \bar{X}_K$ and $X_k \to \bar{X}_k$ are given by a finite sequence of contractions of extremal curves of the first kind such that the exceptional locus of $X_k \to \bar{X}_k$ contains no components of B_k. Then $(\bar{X}_k, \bar{B}_k)$ is terminal and $K_{X_k} + B_k = \nu_k^*(K_{\bar{X}_k,} + \bar{B}_k) + F_k$ where $B_k = \nu_{k,*}^{-1}\bar{B}_k$ and $B_k \wedge F_k = 0$. In particular $\mathbf{B}(K_{X_k}+B_k) = \mathbf{B}(K_{\bar{X}_k}+\bar{B}_k)+F_k$. Suppose that $C \subset \bar{X}_k$ is contained in $\mathbf{B}(K_{\bar{X}_k} + \bar{B}_k) \cap \mathrm{Supp}(\bar{B}_k)$, then $\nu_*^{-1}C \subset \mathbf{B}(K_{X_k} + B_k) \cap \mathrm{Supp}(B_k)$ which is impossible. Therefore, if $K_{\bar{X}_k} + \bar{B}_k$ is not nef, we can continue to contract exceptional curves of the first kind. Since each contraction reduces the Picard number of the central fiber X_k by one, this procedure must terminate after finitely many steps. We may therefore assume that $K_{\bar{X}_k} + \bar{B}_k$ is semiample. In particular $K_{\bar{X}_k} + \bar{B}_k$ is nef and hence so is $K_{\bar{X}} + \bar{B}$ (see Lemma 2.5).

Suppose now that $\nu(K_{X_k} + B_k) = 2$. In this case $K_{\bar{X}_k} + \bar{B}_k$ is nef and big so that there exists an integer $m_0 > 0$ such that $h^i(m(K_{\bar{X}_k} + \bar{B}_k)) = 0$ for all $m \in m_0\mathbb{N}$ and all $i > 0$ (see [Tan15, 2.6]). By semicontinuity, we also have $h^i(m(K_{\bar{X}_K} + \bar{B}_K)) = 0$ for all $m \in m_0\mathbb{N}$. But then, by flatness,

$$h^0(m(K_{X_K} + B_K)) = h^0(m(K_{\bar{X}_K} + \bar{B}_K)) = \chi(m(K_{\bar{X}_K} + \bar{B}_K)) =$$

$$\chi(m(K_{\bar{X}_k} + \bar{B}_k)) = h^0(m(K_{\bar{X}_k} + \bar{B}_k)) = h^0(m(K_{X_k} + B_k)).$$

Suppose that $\kappa(K_{X_k} + B_k) = 0$. Then we have $K_{\bar{X}_k} + \bar{B}_k \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. By Lemma 2.5, it follows that $\pm(K_{\bar{X}_K} + \bar{B}_K)$ is nef and hence that $K_{\bar{X}_K} + \bar{B}_K \equiv 0$. By [Tan14, 1.2], $K_{\bar{X}_K} + \bar{B}_K \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Thus there exists

an integer $m_0 > 0$ such that $m_0(K_{\bar{X}_K}+\bar{B}_K) \sim 0$ and $m_0(K_{\bar{X}_k}+\bar{B}_k) \sim 0$. Thus $h^0(m(K_{X_K}+B_K)) = h^0(m(K_{X_k}+B_k))$ for all $m \geq 0$ divisible by m_0.

Suppose that $\kappa(K_{X_k}+B_k) = 1$. Since $K_{\bar{X}_k}+\bar{B}_k$ is nef, so is $K_{\bar{X}_K}+\bar{B}_K$. In particular $\kappa(K_{\bar{X}_K}+\bar{B}_K) \geq 0$ and thus, by semicontinuity we have $\kappa(K_{\bar{X}_K}+\bar{B}_K) \in \{0,1\}$. Let H be a sufficiently ample divisor on $\bar{X}$. Then $(K_{\bar{X}_K}+\bar{B}_K)\cdot H_K = (K_{\bar{X}_k}+\bar{B}_k)\cdot H_k > 0$ so $K_{\bar{X}_K}+\bar{B}_K \not\equiv 0$. Therefore $\kappa(K_{\bar{X}_K}+\bar{B}_K) = 1$.

Finally, suppose that $\kappa(K_{X_k}+B_k) = 1$ and B_k is big over $\mathrm{Proj}R(K_{X_k}+B_k)$. Note that $\bar{B}_k$ is also big over $\mathrm{Proj}R(K_{X_k}+B_k)$ and hence $\bar{B}_k + K_{\bar{X}_k}+\bar{B}_k$ is big. Thus we may write $\bar{B}_k + K_{\bar{X}_k}+\bar{B}_k \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \bar{A}_k+\bar{E}_k$ where $\bar{A}_k$ is ample and $\bar{E}_k$ is effective. For any rational number $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, the pair $(\bar{X}_k, \Delta_k = (1-\epsilon)\bar{B}_k + \epsilon\bar{E}_k)$ is Kawamata log terminal and so the corresponding multiplier ideal sheaf is trivial $\mathcal{J}(\Delta_k) = \mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_k}$. If $L = N = m(K_{\bar{X}_k}+\bar{B}_k)$, then N is nef and not numerically equivalent to zero while $L-(K_{\bar{X}_k}+\Delta_k) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} (m-1-\epsilon)(K_{\bar{X}_k}+\bar{B}_k)+\epsilon\bar{A}_k$ is ample and so by [Tan15, 0.3], $H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_k}(m(l+1)(K_{\bar{X}_k}+\bar{B}_k))) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $l \gg 0$. By semicontinuity, $H^i(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_K}(m(l+1)(K_{\bar{X}_K}+\bar{B}_K))) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $l \gg 0$ and hence $h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_k}(m(l+1)(K_{\bar{X}_k}+\bar{B}_k))) = h^0(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{X}_K}(m(l+1)(K_{\bar{X}_K}+\bar{B}_K)))$. □

We will now consider the general case. Since (X,B) is log smooth over R, there is a sequence of blow ups along strata of $\mathbf{M}_B$ say $\nu : X' \to X$ such that $K_{X'}+B' = \nu^*(K_X+B)$ is terminal and in particular $B' \geq 0$ and (X',B') is log smooth. Since $R(K_{X'_k}+B'_k) \cong R(K_{X_k}+B_k)$ is finitely generated, $N_\sigma(K_{X'_k}+B'_k)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor and hence so is

$$\Theta_k := B'_k - (B'_k \wedge N_\sigma(K_{X'_k}+B'_k)).$$

Note that $R(K_{X'_k}+\Theta_k) \cong R(K_{X'_k}+B'_k)$, (X'_k,Θ_k) is terminal and no component of Θ_k is contained in $\mathbf{B}(K_{X'_k}+\Theta_k)$ [HMX14, 2.8.3] and [HX13, 2.4]. Let Θ be the unique $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor supported on B' such that $\Theta|_{X'_k} = \Theta_k$. We remark that if $\kappa(K_{X_k}+B_k) = 1$ and B_k is big over $\mathrm{Proj}R(K_{X_k}+B_k)$, then by Proposition 2.4, Θ_k is big over $\mathrm{Proj}R(K_{X'_k}+\Theta_k)$. By Claim 3.2, it follows that $\kappa(K_{X'_K}+\Theta_K) = \kappa(K_{X'_k}+\Theta_k)$ and there exists an integer $m_0 > 0$ such that

$$h^0(m(K_{X'_K}+\Theta_K)) = h^0(m(K_{X'_k}+\Theta_k)) \qquad \forall m \in m_0\mathbb{N}.$$

By semicontinuity, we then have

$$h^0(m(K_{X_k}+B_k)) \geq h^0(m(K_{X_K}+B_K)) \geq h^0(m(K_{X'_K}+B'_K)) \geq$$
$$h^0(m(K_{X'_K}+\Theta_K)) = h^0(m(K_{X'_k}+\Theta_k)) = h^0(m(K_{X_k}+B_k))$$

and hence $h^0(m(K_{X_k}+B_k)) = h^0(m(K_{X_K}+B_K))$. The equality $\kappa(K_{X_k}+B_k) = \kappa(K_{X_K}+B_K)$ follows similarly.

□

Corollary 3.3. *Let (X,B) be a klt pair which is log smooth, projective of dimension 2 over a DVR R with perfect residue field k of characteristic $p>0$ and perfect fraction field K. If K_X+B is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier, H is an ample divisor on X, and either $\kappa(K_{X_k}+B_k)\in\{0,2\}$ or $\kappa(K_{X_k}+B_k)=1$ and B_k is big over $\mathrm{Proj}R(K_{X_k}+B_k)$, then $R(K_X+B, K_X+B+H)$ is finitely generated.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.3, $R(K_{X_k}+B_k, K_{X_k}+B_k+H_k)$ is finitely generated and hence there is an sequence of rational numbers $0=q_0<q_1<q_2<\ldots<q_n=1$ and an integer m such that $R(m(K_{X_k}+B_k+q_iH_k), m(K_{X_k}+B_k+q_{i+1}H_k))$ is generated in degree 1 i.e. by $H^0(m(K_{X_k}+B_k+q_iH_k))$ and $H^0(m(K_{X_k}+B_k+q_{i+1}H_k))$. We may assume that each $m(K_X+B+q_iH)$ is Cartier. By Theorem 3.1, after replacing m by a multiple, we may assume that

$$H^0(m(K_X+B+q_iH))\to H^0(m(K_{X_k}+B_k+q_iH_k))$$

is surjective. Therefore, the induced map

$$S^kH^0(m(K_X+B+q_iH))\otimes S^jH^0(m(K_X+B+q_{i+1}H))\to$$

$$S^kH^0(m(K_{X_k}+B_k+q_iH_k))\otimes S^jH^0(m(K_{X_k}+B_k+q_{i+1}H_k))\to$$

$$H^0(mk(K_{X_k}+B_k+q_iH_k)+mj(K_{X_k}+B_k+q_{i+1}H_k))$$

is surjective. By Nakayama's lemma,

$$S^kH^0(m(K_X+B+q_iH))\otimes S^jH^0(m(K_X+B+q_{i+1}H))\to$$

$$H^0(mk(K_X+B+q_iH)+mj(K_X+B+q_{i+1}H))$$

is surjective and so $R(m(K_X+B+q_iH), m(K_X+B+q_{i+1}H))$ is finitely generated. It follows easily that $R(m(K_X+B), m(K_X+B+H))$ is finitely generated. By [CL13, 2.25] $R(K_X+B, K_X+B+H)$ is finitely generated. □

Remark 3.4. *By the same arguments in the proof of Corollary 3.3, one sees that if $\kappa(K_{X_k}+B_k)=2$ and $H_1,\ldots,H_l$ are ample divisors, then*

$$R(K_X+B, K_X+B+H_1,\ldots,K_X+B+H_l):=$$

$$\bigoplus_{(m_0,\ldots,m_l)\in\mathbb{N}^{l+1}} H^0(m_0(K_X+B)+m_1(K_X+B+H_1)+\ldots+m_l(K_X+B+H_l))$$

is finitely generated.

Corollary 3.5. *Let (X, B) be a klt pair which is log smooth, projective of dimension 2 over a DVR R with perfect residue field k of characteristic $p > 0$ and perfect fraction field K. If $K_X + B$ is $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier and H is a sufficiently ample divisor on X such that H is general in $N^1(X/R)$, then (after possibly extending R) we may run the $K_X + B$ mmp over R with scaling of H which terminates with a $K_X + B + \tau H$ minimal model $X \dashrightarrow \bar{X}$ over R where $\tau = \inf\{t \geq 0 | \kappa(K_{X_k} + B_k + tH_k) \geq 0\}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $X \dashrightarrow X_1 \dashrightarrow \ldots \dashrightarrow X_i$ is a sequence of steps in the $K_X + B$ mmp over R with scaling of H, in particular X_i is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial and there exists a rational number $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ such that $K_{X_i} + B_i + \lambda_i H_i$ is nef over R where B_i and H_i are the strict transforms of B and H on X_i. Let $\lambda_{i+1} = \inf\{\lambda \geq 0 | K_{X_i} + B_i + \lambda H_i \text{ is nef}\}$. If $\lambda_{i+1} = 0$ we are done, and therefore we may assume that $0 < \lambda_{i+1} \leq \lambda_i$.

Suppose that $K_{X_k} + B_k + \lambda_{i+1} H_k$ is big. Since bigness is an open condition, $K_{X_k} + B_k + \lambda' H_k$ is also big for some rational number $\lambda' < \lambda_{i+1}$. By Corollary 3.3, $R(K_X + B + \lambda' H, K_X + B + \lambda_i H)$ is finitely generated and hence so is $R(K_{X_i} + B_i + \lambda' H_i, K_{X_i} + B_i + \lambda_i H_i)$. It then follows easily that $\lambda_{i+1} \in \mathbb{Q}$. Since $R(K_{X_i} + B_i + \lambda_{i+1} H_i)$ is finitely generated, there exists a morphism $\mu_i : X_i \to Z_i := \text{Proj}(R(K_{X_i} + B_i + \lambda_{i+1} H_i))$.

We claim that $\rho(X_i/Z_i) = 1$. To see this, pick ample $\mathbb{Q}$-divisors $H^1, \ldots, H^l$ on X such that $||H - H^i|| \ll 1$ in $N^1(X)$ and $H^1, \ldots, H^l$ span $N^1(X)$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the cone spanned by $K_X + B + \lambda' H, K_X + B + H^1, \ldots, K_X + B + H^l$, then by Remark 3.4, the adjoint ring

$$R(K_X + B + \lambda' H, K_X + B + H^1, \ldots, K_X + B + H^l),$$

is finitely generated. Let $\mathcal{C} = \cup_{j=1}^s \mathcal{C}_j$ be the finite decomposition of $\mathcal{C}$ in to rational cones given by [CL13, 3.5]. Let $K_X + B + \mathcal{H}$ be an element in the interior of one of the maximal dimensional cones $\mathcal{C}_j$, then following [HM13, 3.3] there is an induced birational map $g : X \dashrightarrow Y = \text{Proj} R(K_X + B + \mathcal{H})$ to a log terminal model of $K_X + B + \mathcal{H}$. Since H is general in $N^1(X)$, it follows that λ_{i+1} is determined by the intersection of the segment $[K_X + B + \lambda' H, K_X + B + H]$ with a codimension one face of the decomposition $\mathcal{C} = \cup_{j=1}^s \mathcal{C}_j$. We may assume that this face is shared by maximal dimensional cones $\mathcal{C}_i$ and $\mathcal{C}_{i+1}$ where $K_X + B + \lambda_{i+1} H$ corresponds to a general element of $\mathcal{C}_i \cap \mathcal{C}_{i+1}$ and if $K_X + B + \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}_i^\circ$, then $X_i \cong \text{Proj} R(K_X + B + \mathcal{H})$. Following [HM13, 3.3(4)], there is a morphism $\mu_i : X_i \to Z_i := \text{Proj}(R(K_{X_i} + B_i + \lambda_{i+1} H_i))$ of relative Picard number 1.

If $\text{Ex}(\mu_i)$ is a divisor, then Z_i is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, we let $X_{i+1} = Z_i$ and $X_i \to X_{i+1}$ is the required divisorial contraction. If $\text{Ex}(\mu_i)$ is not a

divisor, then $X_i \to Z_i$ is a flipping contraction and we let $X_{i+1} = \mathrm{Proj}(R(K_{X_i} + B_i + (\lambda_{i+1} - \delta)H_i))$ for any $0 < \delta \ll 1$. Note that by the finite generation of $R(K_X + B + \lambda' H, K_X + B + H)$, it follows that X_{i+1} does not depend on δ. After replacing X_i by X_{i+1}, we may repeat the above procedure.

Suppose now that $K_{X_k} + B_k + \lambda_{i+1}H_k$ is not big and $K_{X_k} + B_k + \lambda_i H_k$ is big. Note that by what we have observed above $X \dashrightarrow X_i$ is a minimal model for $(X, B + \lambda H)$ for any $\lambda_{i+1} < \lambda < \lambda_i$ and so it is a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial weak log canonical model for $(X, B + \lambda_{i+1}H)$. By construction, $X \dashrightarrow X_i$ is $K_X + B + \lambda_{i+1}H$ negative and hence $X \dashrightarrow X_i$ is a minimal model for $(X, B + \lambda_{i=1}H)$. □

References

[BCHM10] Caucher Birkar, Paolo Cascini, Christopher D. Hacon, and James McKernan. *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type.* J. Amer. Math. Soc., 23(2):405468, 2010.

[Bir15] Caucher Birkar. *Existence of flips and minimal models for 3-folds in char p.* arXiv preprint arXiv:1311.3098, 2015.

[CL13] Alessio Corti and Vladimir Lazić. *New outlook on the minimal model program, II.* Math. Ann., 356(2):617-633, 2013.

[Egb16] Andrew Egbert. *Log Minimal Models For Arithmetic Threefolds*, (2016), url: https://github.com/divbit/phd-thesis.

[HM13] Christopher D. Hacon, James McKernan. *The Sarkisov program.* J. Algebraic Geom. 22 (2013), no. 2, 389405.

[HMX14] Christopher D Hacon, James McKernan, and Chenyang Xu. *Boundedness of moduli of varieties of general type.* arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.1186, 2014.

[HX13] Christopher D. Hacon and Chenyang Xu. *Existence of log canonical closures.* Invent. Math., 192(1):161-195, 2013.

[HX15] Christopher D. Hacon and Chenyang Xu. *On the three dimensional minimal model program in positive characteristic.* J. Amer. Math. Soc., 28(3):711-744, 2015.

[Kaw94] Yujiro Kawamata. *Semistable minimal models of threefolds in positive or mixed characteristic.* J. Algebraic Geom., 3(3):463-491, 1994.

[KM98] János Kollár and Shigefumi Mori. *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties,* volume 134 of Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998. With the collaboration of C. H. Clemens and A. Corti, Translated from the 1998 Japanese original.

[KU85] Toshiyuki Katsura and Kenji Ueno. *On elliptic surfaces in characteristic p.* Math. Ann., 272(3):291-330, 1985.

[La83] W. Lang, *On Enriques surfaces in characteristic p. I*, Math. Ann. 265 (1983), no. 1, pp. 45-65.

[Siu98] Yum-Tong Siu, *Invariance of plurigenera.* Invent. Math., 134(3):661-673, 1998.

[Siu02] Yum-Tong Siu. *Extension of twisted pluricanonical sections with plurisubharmonic weight and invariance of semipositively twisted plurigenera for manifolds not necessarily of general type.* In Complex geometry (Göttingen, 2000), pages 223-277. Springer, Berlin, 2002.

[Suh08] J. Suh, *Plurigenera of general type surfaces in mixed characteristic.* Compos. Math., 144(5):1214-1226, 2008.

[Tan14] Hiromu Tanaka, *Minimal models and abundance for positive characteristic log surfaces.* Nagoya Math. J., 216:1-70, 2014.

[Tan15] Hiromu Tanaka, *The X-method for klt surfaces in positive characteristic.* J. Algebraic Geom., 24(4):605-628, 2015.

[Tan16] Hiromu Tanaka, *Minimal model programme for excellent surfaces.* arXiv:1608.07676

Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT 84112, USA